

Remarks

Applicants note that the amendments in the responses dated March 28, 2006, and June 22, 2007, were made in response to notices of non-compliant amendments in the response dated December 19, 2005. Therefore, in this response, Applicant provides a corrected listing of claim amendments made in the response dated December 19, 2005; along with a supplemental reissue declaration for these amendments. Applicant believes that no further corrected amendments or declarations are required, as the correction to the claim amendments made in the response dated December 19, 2005, renders the subsequent amendments moot.

Status of Claims corresponding to the response dated December 19, 2005

Claims 1 to 53 and 55 are pending.

Claims 21, 22, 35, and 47 are amended.

Claim 54 has been canceled,

Claim 55 has been added.

Claim 21 has been amended for clarity. Support for the amendment can be found in original claim 21.

Claims 22 and 35 have been amended to include the presence of a double-sided tape. Support for these amendments can be found in the original claims and at, e.g., col. 2, lines 34-51; col. 4, lines 39-58; and FIG. 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,365,254 (the patent for which reissue is requested).

Claim 47 has been amended to include an antiblocking agent. Claim 54 depended from claim 47. This amendment incorporates the limitations of claim 54 in to claim 47. Please cancel claim 54.

New claim 55 has been added. Claim 55 is repeated here, along with exemplary support for each element from U.S. Patent No. 6,365,254.

55. The adhesive tape assembly as set forth in claim 21, wherein said pressure-sensitive adhesive layer comprises an acrylic pressure-sensitive adhesive (see, e.g., col. 7, lines 7-10), said

heat-activated adhesive layer comprises an olefinic material (see, e.g., col. 6, line 63 – col. 7, line 12) said release layer comprises at least one polyolefin (see, e.g., col. 4, line 59 – col. 5, line 10), and said roll stability layer comprising an ethylene vinyl acetate (see, e.g., col. 2, lines 46-51).

With this response, an earnest effort has been made to respond to all issues raised in the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment. In view of the above, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance and reconsideration of the application is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

September 30, 2009

Date

By: /Thomas M. Spielbauer/

Thomas M. Spielbauer, Reg. No.: 58,492

Telephone No.: 651-736-9814

Office of Intellectual Property Counsel
3M Innovative Properties Company
Facsimile No.: 651-736-3833